Proton Transfer and Structure-Specific Fluorescence in Hydrogen Bond-Rich Protein Structures
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ABSTRACT: Protein structures which form fibrils have recently been shown to absorb light at energies in the near UV range and to exhibit a structure-specific fluorescence in the visible range even in the absence of aromatic amino acids. However, the molecular origin of this phenomenon has so far remained elusive. Here, we combine ab initio molecular dynamics simulations and fluorescence spectroscopy to demonstrate that these intrinsically fluorescent protein fibrils are permissive to proton transfer across hydrogen bonds which can lower electron excitation energies and thereby decrease the likelihood of energy dissipation associated with conventional hydrogen bonds. The importance of proton transfer on the intrinsic fluorescence observed in protein fibrils is signified by large reductions in the fluorescence intensity upon either fully protonating, or deprotonating, the fibrils at pH = 0 or 14, respectively. Thus, our results point to the existence of a structure-specific fluorophore that does not require the presence of aromatic residues or multiple bond conjugation that characterize conventional fluorescent systems. The phenomenon may have a wide range of implications in biological systems and in the design of self-assembled functional materials.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the mechanisms associated with the formation of protein fibrils, the kinetic aspects of their aggregation in different environments, and, more recently, their optical properties is a subject of intense investigation.1–9 The three-dimensional (3D) arrangement of protein fibrils consists to a large extent of β-sheets10,11 which are stabilized by a dense network of hydrogen bonds (H-bonds). Upon fibrillization such structures reach the thermodynamically most stable state, and the ensuing H-bonds interconnecting the β-sheets have been shown to be stronger than those found in any other folded protein.12

In recent work it was found that protein fibrils absorb light at wavelengths λ > 360 nm with subsequent fluorescence emission that is red-shifted to λ > 400 nm, leading to fluorescence lifetimes on the order of 1–3 ns.1,2,4,5,7,9,13 This fluorescence phenomenon has been attributed to the dipolar coupling between the excited states of aromatic residues3,4 or linked to the presence of the dense network of H-bonds interconnecting the β-sheet strands. Recent evidence points to the latter since fluorescence is also observed from fibrils free of any aromatic residues.1,2,5,7,13 This observation is puzzling since absorption of photons and subsequent fluorescence in biological fluorophores is typically associated with the presence of aromatic residues or conjugated bonds that lead to electron delocalization.14–16

The role of the dense H-bond network in determining the optical properties of β-sheet-rich structures has been a subject of interest since the 1950s. Schauenstein proposed the existence of a peptenol chromophore in fibrillar silk proteins, which was thought to involve the movement of protons along the peptide backbone and thus to give rise to electron delocalization.17 Delocalized electronic densities in H-bonds have furthermore been reported to occur in some organic molecules, such as polypeptides and enzymes.18,19 Several theoretical studies on model organic systems have also shown that the displacement of protons along specific interaction coordinates, such as H-bonds, can be strongly coupled to photophysical processes.20–22 In addition to the coupling of proton motion to delocalized electronic densities, there is also a growing body of evidence on the importance of nuclear quantum effects in several systems. In particular, since protons are light particles, the role of zero-point energy has been found to significantly alter structural and electronic properties of H-bonded systems.23–25 We, and others, have thus hypothesized that charge delocalization in the extensive H-bond network present in protein fibrils may be responsible for the emergence of the fluorescence observed in these structures. However, evidence for this effect has remained elusive until this point.
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In this work we combine state-of-the-art large-scale first-principles simulations of small protein fibrils, such as those formed by amyloid proteins, and quantitative fluorescence spectroscopy experiments to show that there is indeed charge delocalization, and in particular proton transfer, occurring in H-bond-rich structures independent of aromatic residues. This suggests a novel mechanism by which fluorescence may occur in the absence of any classical π-conjugation system. Our simulations show that protons in the ground state can easily transfer along H-bonds formed between the N- and C-termini of these protein fibrils (see schematic in Figure 1). Furthermore, we find that this process can facilitate absorbance of photons at lower excitation energies and perhaps more importantly decrease the likelihood of energy dissipation associated with conventional H-bonds. We further provide experimental proof that proton transfer is directly coupled to the intrinsic fluorescence phenomenon, as protein fibrils in either a fully protonated or deprotonated form undergo significant changes in their optical properties.

**METHODS**

**Computational Section.** The computational modeling of the protein fibrils and determination of the optical spectra involved two main stages. We used first-principles simulations for which the electronic degrees of freedom were explicitly treated to perform molecular dynamics (MD) simulations in the electronic ground state. We then used these simulations to extract configurations from which static absorption spectra could be determined. For certain cases we also performed full quantum simulations of the nuclei for which zero-point energy and tunnelling effects were explicitly accounted for. Since the simulation of the entire protein fibril would be computationally intractable, we used model systems based on the known crystal structures of representative amyloid forming peptides or fragments of the actual peptides used in the experiments. The model systems correspond to the crystal structures of 2Y3J, 2Y3L, and 2Y2A (AIIGLM, MVGGVVI, and KLVFFA), respectively, in the protein database (PDB). More details on these model systems are given in the main text.

**Equilibrium Ground State Simulations.** The ab initio Molecular Dynamics (AIMD) simulations of the ground state were performed using the Quickstep algorithm which is part of the CP2K package. In these calculations, ab initio Born–Oppenheimer MD is used for the propagation of classical nuclei. A convergence criterion of $5 \times 10^{-7}$ a.u. was used for the optimization of the wave function. Using the Gaussian and plane wave methods, the wave function was expanded in the Gaussian double-$\zeta$ valence polarized (DZVP) basis set. An auxiliary basis set of plane waves was used to expand the electron density up to a cutoff of 300 Ry. For the simulations we used the gradient correction to the local density approximation and Goedecker–Teter–Hutter pseudopotentials for treating the core electrons. Unless stated otherwise, all calculations employed the Becke–Lee–Yang–Parr (BLYP) functional with the D3(0) Grimme dispersion corrections for the van der Waals interactions. The structures obtained from the PDB databank were first structurally optimized at 0 K using the Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno (BFGS) minimizer. The finite temperature simulations were conducted within the canonical NVT ensemble using the canonical-sampling velocity-rescaling thermostat method. The quantum simulations were performed using a method that combines path integrals with Langevin thermostatting, permitting the usage of a small number of beads (PIGLET). We employed 6 beads for these calculations which has been shown to give good converged statistical properties in liquid water. The total lengths of the classical simulations for 2Y3J, 2Y3L, and 2Y2A were 23, 13, and 18 ps, respectively. The PIGLET simulations of 2Y2A were run for ~6 ps. Note that 2Y3J, 2Y3L, and 2Y2A consist of a total of 758, 888, and 476 atoms, respectively, which makes AIMD simulations computationally demanding.

**Excited State Calculations.** Excited state calculations were performed using time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) implemented in the freely available Quantum-ESPRESSO software package. The method employs a Liouville–Lanczos formalism to compute optical spectra directly over a wide spectral range, avoiding the need for numerically complex calculation of single excited states. Details of this approach have been presented elsewhere. A plane-wave basis set was used, and the electron–ion interactions were taken into account via Vanderbilt ultrasoft pseudopotentials. The ground-state wave functions were determined at the $\Gamma$ point of the Brillouin zone. All calculations employed the BLYP functional with periodic boundary conditions; kinetic energy cutoffs of 25 Ry for the wave
functions and of 300 Ry for the charge density were chosen. For the spectra, an intrinsic bandwidth was set to 0.01 Ry (~0.12 eV). A spectral analysis was performed using the second derivative of the spectral line shape in order to estimate the excited-state energies: Local minima in the second derivative of the spectra correspond to local maxima in the spectra and hence to an excited state. Room-temperature excitation spectra calculations were computed on frames uniformly sampled along the room-temperature AIMD simulations. Finally, excited state electronic densities were computed according to turbo Lanczos module: The 3D responses (one for each dipolar component) were summed to obtain an overall electronic response density.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

### Materials

All chemicals for the preparation of buffers including heparin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Dorset, U.K.). The synthetic analogs of human $\beta_1\alpha_4$ and $\beta_3\alpha_2\gamma_2$ peptides (Bachem, Merseyside, U.K.) were prepared by sequential treatment with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) to remove small aggregates and stored as lyophilized film in Eppendorf tubes at $-20$ °C. Samples were freshly thawed just before each set of experiments. The tau construct K18 (I260C/C291A/C322A) was prepared as described in ref 7.

### Conversion of Soluble Polyptides into Fibrils

100 μM lyophilized intact $\alpha_\mu_\nu_\tau$ peptide was dissolved either in 1 × phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4) or in varying concentrations of HCl or NaOH to adjust the pH and incubated at 37 °C for 7 days, to ensure that in all solutions there was sufficient time for the consumption of the monomeric protein and the conversion to fibrils. The final fibrillar sample was then diluted to 50 μM using one of the following: 1 × PBS, 0.01 or 1 M HCl, or 1 M NaOH. Lyophilized $\alpha_\mu_\nu_\tau$ was initially dissolved in 1% NH$_4$OH at 2 mM and diluted to 100 μM in either 1 × PBS or in HCl and NaOH at the concentrations stated above, depending on which pH was required. The sample was centrifuged (10,000 × $g$, 1.4 NA oil immersion objective) to ensure that in all solutions there was sufficient time for the consumption of the monomeric protein and the conversion to fibrils. The final fibrillar sample was then diluted to 50 μM using the corresponding solvent. K18 (I260C/C291A/C322A) tau (10 μM in 50 mM ammonium acetate buffer, pH 7.2) was incubated for 24 h at 37 °C under quiescent conditions in the presence of 2.5 μM heparin (MW3000, Sigma-Aldrich). All buffers were made either with Milli-Q water or with deuterium oxide (D$_2$O) (Sigma-Aldrich) and were filtered with 0.2 μM filters (Millipore Limited, Watford, U.K.) before use. In experiments requiring suspensions of fibrils in different solvents, the fibrillar sample was first centrifuged (10,000g, 30 min), and the soluble fraction was then removed and replaced with an equal volume of the solvent.

### Spectroscopy and Confocal Microscopy

Excitation and emission spectra of bulk solutions containing various amyloid fibrils were taken at 25 °C using an F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi High Tech, Tokyo, Japan). The excitation spectra were measured with the detection wavelength fixed at 450 nm. The emission spectra were measured with the excitation wavelength fixed at 365 nm. For all measurements, excitation and emission slit widths were set to yield bandwidths of 5 and 10 nm, respectively. The PMT voltage was set at 700 V, and the scan speed was 60 nm/min. Microscopic images of protein fibrils were obtained by recording their intrinsic fluorescence on a confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP5, Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) using a 405 nm diode laser and a 488/500 nm bandpass filter (FF01–550/88, Semrock, U.S.A.) and collected with an avalanche photodiode (SPCM-AQRH, Excelitas Technologies, U.S.A.) and an open pinhole. Depending on the amount of collected counts, we used integration times ranging from 100 to 500 μs. Synchronization of the galvanometer mirrors and detector was performed using the Inspector Image Acquisition software (Andreas Schönle, Abbeer Institut, Göttingen, Germany), and all other peripheral devices, including motorized half-wave plate and shutter, were controlled using custom-written LabVIEW (National Instruments, Austin, U.S.A.) code.

Two-photon fluorescence signals from $\alpha_\mu_\nu_\tau$ and $\beta_3\alpha_2\gamma_2$ fibrils were confirmed each time by the presence of corresponding one-photon fluorescence signals when excited at 405 nm. The intensity dependence was measured through acquisition of a stack of images with increasing excitation intensity. The mean of the collected fluorescence signal ($\langle F \rangle$) was then plotted as a function of the estimated average intensity at the focus of the objective and fitted using Matlab (MathWorks, U.S.A.) with a linear regression: \[ \log(\langle F \rangle) = a \times \log(\langle F \rangle) + b, \]

where the coefficient $a$ represents the order of the process. The measurement of the relative two-photon absorption, a series of images were acquired for excitation wavelengths ranging from 750 to 950 nm in 20 nm steps. The average power was kept constant over the whole spectral range and measured precisely for each excitation wavelength. The average fluorescence signal $\langle F \rangle$ was then normalized with the average measured excitation power $P$ and wavelength $\lambda$ as follows: \[ \langle F \rangle / \lambda / P \]

and the excitation spectra were plotted. All measurements were repeated on three different samples for each fibril type ($\alpha_\mu_\nu_\tau$ and $\beta_3\alpha_2\gamma_2$) and found to be consistent with one another.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Proton Transfer Occurs in Protein Fibrils as Shown by ab Initio Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Previous reports have shown that proton tunnelling can lead to the formation of delocalized electronic states in systems that feature extended H-bond networks such as DNA and certain proteins. Recently, Boxer and co-workers have also found significant nuclear quantum effects in the green fluorescent protein (GFP), which affects the structural fluctuations of protons. To probe deeper into the structural, chemical, and electronic properties of the H-bonds formed in β-sheet-rich protein fibrils and their link to fluorescence, we employed ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations and (see Methods Section) using the small fibril forming peptides
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AIIGLM, MVGGVIA, and KLVFFA\textsuperscript{39} as model systems. These correspond to the following different segments of the amyloid $\beta$ (A$\beta_{12}$) peptide, namely A$\beta_{30-35}$, A$\beta_{35-42}$ (both void of aromatic residues) and A$\beta_{16-21}$ (containing two phenylalanines). Their corresponding protein database (PDB) crystal structures are 2Y3J, 2Y3L, and 2Y2A, respectively. All form $\beta$-sheet-rich fibrils which are composed of several stacks of $\beta$-strands, the so-called protofibrils\textsuperscript{31} (Figure 1). Because of its size and associated computational cost, it is not possible to conduct AIMD simulations of the entire A$\beta_{12}$ peptide. The current systems were chosen to represent the diversity in structural morphologies found experimentally in full-length A$\beta$ fibrils and, although large with more than 700 atoms in the unit cell, remain computationally tractable for our approach. In 2Y3J the $\beta$-sheets are arranged in a parallel fashion with respect to each other (Figure 1c), while for 2Y3L and 2Y2A they are arranged in an antiparallel fashion (Figure 1d (i) and (ii), respectively). We note that in each case the N-terminus of one $\beta$-strand resides in close proximity to the C-terminus of the neighboring $\beta$-strand and the strands are bridged by $\beta$-bonds which feature slightly different bonding patterns for the three structures studied.

Figure 2a (i) shows the evolution of the lengths of two different N–H and O–H bonds during the simulation. The N–H bond increases in length from 1 Å to about 1.5 Å (solid and dashed red lines), while the O–H bond decreases in length (solid and dashed blue lines) thus enabling a complete proton transfer from the N-terminus to one of the carboxylic oxygen atoms. The solid and dashed lines correspond to two different H-bonds in the fibril where proton transfer occurs at 0 K. The evolution of the proton transfer coordinate is also shown (black line), defined as the difference in distance between the N–H and O–H bonds, where H refers to the transferring proton. Thus, when the N–H and O–H bonds are equal in length, the proton transfer coordinate is zero, meaning that the proton is equally shared between both termini. A complete proton transfer results in the transformation of the NH$_3^+$···COO$^-$ ion pair to NH$_2$···COOH (see also Movie 1 and Figure S1 for the 0 K simulation).

On the other hand, in Figure 2a (ii) we show the evolution of the same coordinates (N–H, O–H, and the proton transfer coordinate) for two N–C termini sites where proton transfers do not occur. In this case we observe that the N–H bond length remains at around 1 Å and the O–H bond length converges to $\sim$1.6 Å. In this scenario the proton transfer coordinate remains negative throughout the simulation. These optimization simulations therefore show that there are sites in the protein fibril which are protonated either as NH$_3^+$···COO$^-$ ion pairs or as NH$_2$···COOH. We ascertained that the predicted proton transfer events were not sensitive to the choice of functional applied and thus repeated the 0 K simulation for 2Y3J with a more accurate, but computationally more costly, hybrid functional, B3LYP, as implemented in the CP2K code.\textsuperscript{30,41} Using B3LYP we confirmed that the protons are indeed labile and move across the N–C termini H-bonds, as illustrated in Figure 2b (i). Similarly, using B3LYP the proton can also be stabilized on the N-terminus as seen in Figure 2b (ii).

In order to investigate the role of temperature on the fluctuations of protons and to extract information on the underlying free energy profiles, we performed AIMD simulations at 300 K. Figure 2c shows the probability density distributions of the proton transfer coordinates of two different H-bonds. The peak of the probability density distribution resides at either a negative proton transfer coordinate, implying that the proton is localized on the N-terminus, or, in other cases, at a positive value, corresponding to a position at the C-terminus. However, spatial fluctuations of protons at room temperature are quite significant and lead to probability density distributions displaying a double-well character, as shown by the presence of additional small peaks indicated by the arrows.
for each case (see also Movie 2 and Figure S2 to observe proton fluctuations at 300 K).

The preceding simulations treat the electrons quantum mechanically but neglect quantum fluctuations of the nuclei. As indicated earlier, quantum effects in H-bonded systems cause significant fluctuations of protons along H-bonds. Due to the large size of the 2Y3J and 2Y3L systems, ab initio simulations with quantum nuclei were only possible for the smallest system, 2Y2A, which already consists of over 400 atoms. In order to treat the electrons and nuclei quantum mechanically, one has to perform expensive path-integral MD simulations (see Methods for details). Using 6 path-integral beads thus requires the simulation of over 2400 atoms for 2Y2A. Note that the structural arrangement in 2Y2A permits two nitrogen atoms per chain to act as donors in the H-bond: one at the N-terminus and another at a lysine side chain, as highlighted in Figure 3b. The H-bonds between the lysine and the C-terminus tend to be shorter than those between the N- and C-termini. However, in both situations the proton transfer distributions obtained from the quantum simulations (red) are significantly more delocalized compared to the classical ones (black). Quantum fluctuations of the protons lead to situations where there is a significantly higher probability of finding the proton equally shared, i.e., the proton transfer coordinate is close to 0.

Figure 3. (a) Top and bottom panels: Probability density distributions of the proton transfer coordinates obtained from simulations of 2 different H-bonds for the 2Y2A model fibrils. The H-bonds correspond to bridges between the N- and C- termini (1) or between a lysine side-chain and the C-terminus (2), as indicated in panel (b). (b) A snapshot illustrating the two H-bonds (1 and 2) in which the C-terminus is involved. The H-bonds between the lysine and the C-terminus tend to be shorter than those between the N- and C-termini. However, in both situations the proton transfer distributions obtained from the quantum simulations (red) are significantly more delocalized compared to the classical ones (black). Quantum fluctuations of the protons lead to situations where there is a significantly higher probability of finding the proton equally shared, i.e., the proton transfer coordinate is close to 0.

Figure 4. Protein fibrils display fluorophore-like properties even in the absence of aromatic residues. (a) (i) Excitation and emission spectra of the intrinsic fluorescence of Aβ1−42 fibrils and corresponding fluorescence image of unlabeled aggregates obtained using a confocal microscope. (ii) Two-photon fluorescence signals of Aβ1−42 fibrils exhibit a quadratic dependence on the laser excitation power. (b) (i) Excitation and emission spectra of the intrinsic fluorescence of Aβ33−42 fibrils and corresponding fluorescence image. (ii) Two-photon fluorescence signals of Aβ33−42 fibrils exhibit a quadratic fit. For the excitation spectra the emission was centered at 450 nm, and for the emission spectra the excitation was centered at 365 nm. For the two-photon fluorescence excitation, the pulsed laser was set at 750 nm, and the detection band was from 506 to 594 nm.
fluctuations to lead to similar effects in 2Y3J, since the H-bond lengths between the N- and C-termini are similar to those in 2Y2A (∼2.7 Å). In addition, 2Y3J features a double-well potential character in the classical simulations of the ground state. Due to the computational expense, we cannot repeat the quantum simulations for 2Y3J, and hence we performed some benchmark simulations instead. We have included quantum effects at lower computational cost by thermostating high-frequency modes in the system at a higher temperature, using the method described in ref 43. As expected, and similar to 2Y2A, the protons between the H-bonds of 2Y3J also exhibited delocalized character (see Figure S4).

Proton Transfer Has a Significant Effect on the Intrinsic Fluorescence Properties of Protein Fibrils. In the previous section we used first-principles simulations to show that proton transfer events can frequently occur between the N- and C-termini of protein fibrils and, moreover, that proton delocalization is further enhanced by quantum fluctuations of protons. These phenomena are likely to have profound effects on the electronic energy states with associated changes in the optical properties of these materials. In order to explore how the fluorescence phenomenon observed in protein fibrils is coupled to proton-transfer effects, we performed fluorescence spectroscopy experiments of protein fibrils either containing or lacking aromatic amino acids and also of fibrils that were prepared in solutions with varying pH or in deuterium oxide (D2O).

In particular, we studied the two structurally related amyloid-$\beta$ (Aβ) variants: Aβ1-40 and Aβ33-42, which both form typical $\beta$-sheet-rich fibrils44 but with the latter lacking any aromatic amino acids. We first show that both fibrils display very similar emission spectra upon near UV excitation at 365 nm, with a peak emission wavelength occurring near 470 nm (Figure 4a (i), b (i)). The spectral profiles were similar to those observed for many other fibrillar peptides and amyloid fibrils45 as well as for silk.45 In an earlier study, multiphoton excitation was observed in amyloid fibrils, and the phenomenon was interpreted in terms of the excitonic coupling between stacked aromatic residues present in the fibrils.4 However, here we observed similar two-photon excited fluorescence from both aromatic residue-containing (Aβ1-42) and aromatic residue-free (Aβ33-42) amyloid fibrils as shown in Figure 4a (ii) and b (ii), respectively, and in Figure S9, which is proportional to the square of the excitation power.46

Our calculations discussed earlier show that proton transfer along H-bonds appears to be a prominent feature of $\beta$-sheet-rich protein fibrils, and this led us to reason that electronic energy states may be strongly affected by this effect. If this is the case, then we expect the intrinsic fluorescence to be sensitive to changes in solution pH due to the associated changes in protonation state of ionizable groups, especially near the N- and C-termini. In particular, in either fully protonated or deprotonated conditions both absorption and fluorescence properties should be significantly altered if proton transfer is indeed a driver of the fluorescence phenomenon. Under extreme acidic conditions (pH = 0), all ionizable groups, including the N- and C-termini, will be fully protonated, and conversely, these groups will be mainly deprotonated at pH = 14. We studied the fluorescence spectra of Aβ1-42 fibrils formed in buffers with pH values ranging from pH = 0 to 14 (see Methods section for experimental details). At the extreme conditions, i.e., pH = 0 and 14, the optical properties of the fibrils are significantly perturbed compared to intermediate protonation states (pH = 2 and 7.4). In particular, for fibrils formed at pH = 0 and 14 the fluorescence emission intensity is significantly decreased, and the excitation peaks are blue-shifted and quenched in the lower energy range (340–400 nm), as shown in Figure 5a (i) and (ii). We also observe a higher emission intensity at pH = 2 as well as a red-shifted excitation peak compared to pH = 7.4. These effects may be related to changes in proton transfer rates which affect the rate of nonradiative transitions and thereby the quantum yield. Similar observations were reported previously for other fluorophore systems containing proton donor and acceptor groups47 as well as for fluorescent proteins.47

In order to probe further into the role of protons on optical properties of protein fibrils, we substituted hydrogen atoms (H) with deuterium (D) and compared the ensuing emission

Figure 5. Proton transfer affects the fluorescence properties of protein fibrils. (a) Excitation (i) and emission (ii) spectra of Aβ1-42 fibrils in buffers of varying pH values. For the excitation spectra the emission window was centered at 450 nm, and for emission spectra the excitation wavelength was centered at 365 nm. (b) AFM images showing the protein fibrils formed in buffers made in all the pH conditions for which the spectra were obtained in (a). The fibrillar nature of the protein was preserved for all buffer conditions investigated.
spectra of Aβ fibrils formed in phosphate buffers (PBS, pH = 7.4) containing either H2O or D2O (Figure S10a). This substitution resulted in a blue shift of about 6 nm for the fluorescence emission peak in the deuterated fibrils, possibly pointing to nuclear effects (see later section). However, we were not able to discern changes in their excitation spectra.

In order to test that the formation of fibrils was not affected by changes in solution pH, for example, via changes in electrostatic interactions between ionizable sites or by D2O substitution, we took AFM images of protein fibrils under all conditions (Figures 5b and S10b). Fibrils formed under all pH and isotope conditions tested and the H(D)-bond network that stabilizes adjacent β-strands appeared to remain intact. Furthermore, fibril stability is not affected by the different protonation states at the N- and C-termini. It is worth noting that a previous computational study of amyloid forming peptides predicted that the formation of protein fibrils does not require the presence of charged N- and C-termini.48 The effect of pH on the intrinsic fluorescence of protein fibrils provides a link between the proton transfer observed in the MD simulations and the fluorescence phenomenon and supports the notion that the chromophore properties in such systems are strongly characterized by proton fluctuations within a network of H-bonds. Understanding exactly how the H-bond networks are affected by pH is an interesting question for future investigation using MD simulations.

Optical Absorption Spectra in Model Protein Fibrils.

The ground-state simulations shown in Figures 2 and 3 and Movies 1 and 2 illustrate how proton transfer is facilitated in protein fibrils. To model the fluorescence phenomenon explicitly, similar calculations would have to be performed for the excited state, but this is currently computationally not feasible due to the size of the studied model systems. However, a wealth of information can be gained from an understanding of the molecular motions in the ground state and their effects on the absorption of light. Optical spectra were thus modeled with periodic TD-DFT using the Lioville−Lanczos method,34 which is a suitable approach for large unit cells (ca. 700 atoms for 2Y3J).

We computed the absorption spectra for the three structures 2Y3J, 2Y2A, and 2Y3L at 0 K (Figure 6a). In all three peptides we observe three peaks: one at 2.5−3 eV (413−496 nm) (I), another at 3.5−4 eV (310−350 nm) (II), and finally at 5 eV (∼246 nm) (III). Note that only a qualitative comparison with experimental results can be made since model systems were used for the calculations. Also, the high degree of scattering prevailing in fibrillar material prevents the direct measurement of the absorption spectra. Instead fluorescence excitation scans were performed which mimic absorption spectra. In Figure 6b we show the excited-state electron densities which give rise to each of the three maxima calculated for 2Y3J. In all cases we observe significant electron delocalization in the excited state. We note furthermore that the low-energy excitations (I and II) include electrons delocalized particularly in the vicinity of the N−C termini where, as discussed earlier, proton transfer is significant. They are a result of a mixing of π-conjugate states from the backbone with electronic states from residues (S atoms). In contrast, for the highest excitation energy (III), the electron density is mainly localized along the peptide backbone and is insensitive to the environmental conditions since the
corresponding absorption peaks are very similar for all three peptides suggestive of a typical n–π* transition. Moreover, analysis of the frontier orbitals is also coherent with the spatial distribution of the low-lying excited state (see Figure S5 for 2Y3J). We do not currently find evidence for significant charge-transfer character in the excited states. However, this point will be explored specifically in future work in which the dynamics of the excited states will be taken into account.

We note that the apparent agreement between the positions of the first two peaks in the calculated absorption spectra and the experimentally observed excitation wavelengths has to be interpreted with caution because of the known sensitivity of the calculations to the choice of density functional used. A more detailed discussion on this point follows later in the article.

Proton Transfer Events Have a Direct Effect on Absorption. We then examined whether there is a coupling between the position of the proton along the proton transfer coordinate and the corresponding ground and excited state energies. Interestingly, we found that moving a single proton along the proton transfer coordinate in 2Y3J leads to a small but subtle spectral red shift of ca. 0.25 eV (~30 nm) as seen in Figure 7a. Note that while the energy of the ground state increases for proton movement along the transfer coordinate, the excited states will be taken into account.

Absorption Spectra Can Be Further Influenced by Increasing Temperature and by Quantum Effects. The spectra shown earlier do not include the effects of thermal fluctuations. Thus, for 2Y3J we also computed the optical spectra obtained by averaging over configurations sampled from simulations at 300 K (Figure 8a). As a visual guide, the vertical lines in the figure correspond to the positions of the lowest peaks of excitation energies obtained at 0 K. The inclusion of temperature results in a broader distribution and an increased oscillator strength at lower excitation energies. Extracting correlations between these energies and the motion of specific protons is challenging due to the many different degrees of freedom within the system. However, in order to test the robustness of our results, we have repeated the above calculations for two more H-bonds and find similar features.

Recent simulations of protonated water have revealed that nuclear quantum effects broaden the electronic density of states and hence introduce electronic levels in both the ground and excited state that are classically inaccessible.23 We have shown earlier, that for 2Y2A quantum fluctuations of the protons lead to significant changes in the proton delocalization along H-bonds in the ground state. Furthermore, previous theoretical studies have shown that quantum effects can lead to a significant broadening of absorption spectra.25,51,52 Since nuclear quantum effects affect the properties of protons the most, an effect on the absorption spectra would once again

Figure 7. Proton transfer lowers the excitation energies. The figure demonstrates how movement of the proton between the N- and C-terminus for one particular H-bond in 2Y3J at 0 K can affect the excitation energy. (a) Oscillator strength as a function of excitation energy and as a function of proton movement from the equilibrium position (inset framed in red) to the N-terminus (inset framed in gray). Spectra corresponding to intermediate positions along the proton transfer coordinate are also shown. Proton movement results in a significant red shift in the excitation energy (from 390 to 430 nm, i.e, 3.2 to 2.9 eV). b) Ground state energies (bottom dots) and corresponding first excited state energies (top dots) as a function of the proton transfer coordinate, revealing energy minima located at different coordinates for the ground and the first excited states.
strongly implicate the role of proton delocalization on the optical properties.

We thus computed optical spectra via sampling configurations obtained from path-integral simulations of 2Y2A (see Methods for details). The theory behind this methodology has been described in detail by Marx and co-workers and was successfully applied for various soft-mode systems.25,51−53 The method relies on the assumption that the Born−Oppenheimer approximation holds true, and hence any relaxation of the environment during excitation is neglected. The oscillator strength of the optical absorption for 2Y2A was thus determined for both classical and quantum simulations. Figure 8b shows the average spectra obtained from classical (black) and quantum simulations (red). Interestingly, if we focus on the region of the plot on the left of the vertical line (the excitation peak at 0 K for 2Y2A), we observe that the quantum simulations show a significant nonzero optical response. This is more clearly seen in Figure 8b (ii) which shows a histogram of the excitation energies. Another way to interpret this is that nuclear quantum effects result in a red-shift of the excitation energies relative to those observed classically. Besides this difference, the quantum simulations also feature larger variation in the magnitudes of the oscillator strength over the entire energy range examined. These results show that quantum effects, which alter the fluctuations of protons along the H-bonds, have an additional impact on the absorption spectra.

Figure 8. Absorption is enhanced by thermal fluctuations and nuclear quantum effects. (a) Oscillator strength computed for 2Y3J: average spectra (black thick line) and its frequency-dependent standard deviation (shaded gray area). Scattered points correspond to the maxima calculated for all the spectra obtained at 300 K, while vertical lines correspond to maxima of the 0 K structure. The inset shows a zoomed-in low-frequency region. (b) Oscillator strength computed for 2Y2A: (classical nuclei: black line, quantum nuclei: red line) and its frequency-dependent standard deviation (shaded gray and red area for classical and quantum nuclei, respectively). Black and red scattered points correspond to the maxima calculated for all the spectra, while the vertical line corresponds to the maximum of the 0 K structure. The inset shows a zoomed-in low-frequency region. For both 2Y3J and 2Y2A, these values were evaluated over frames extracted along a room-temperature ab initio MD simulation. (ii) Corresponding distribution of calculated peak excitation energies for 2Y2A (classical nuclei: black line, quantum nuclei: red line). The figure displays three peaks that correspond to the three excited states. The quantum nuclei simulations show a red shift of the excited states. Each excited state distribution is normalized such that the integrated area is equal to 1.

Figure 9. (a) (i) Peak emission wavelength and (ii) fluorescence lifetime of the intrinsic fluorescence of Aβ1−42 fibrils in different solvents and in their fully dried state. (b) Lippert plot displaying the Stokes shifts of the fluorescence of Aβ1−42 fibrils in wavenumbers against the orientational polarizability Δf of different solvents. The letters refer to A, cyclohexane; B, butoxyethanol; C, DMSO; and D, PBS. The dipole moment difference Δμ between ground and excited states is given by the slope of the plot.
adjacent chains (i.e., stacks of β-strands). Similarly, for 2Y2A and 2Y3L we observe that low-lying transitions involve more than one chain and that the corresponding electron density is delocalized over more than one strand.

The theoretical predictions point to a nonconventional delocalized structural chromophore spreading over multiple strands which is modulated by proton transfer. In order to ascertain this hypothesis we performed experiments to get an estimate of the change in dipole moment (Δμ) that occurs upon excitation of this protein fibril fluorophore. We measured the fluorescence Stokes shifts (Δν) for protein fibrils suspended in solvents of varying polarity and extracted the dipole moment changes from a Lippert plot.15,56 We found that there is a significant (up to 20 nm) Stokes shift change by going from polar to nonpolar solvents (Figure 9a (i)), which is similar to values measured for conventional fluorophores.55,56 In addition, we also measured the concomitant changes in the fluorescence lifetime and observed a strong inverse correlation with solvent polarity for Aβ1–42 fibrils (Figure 9a (ii)). The same effect was observed in Aβ33–42 fibrils (Figure S11a). Both these observations point to an excitation involving a change in the charge distribution of the fluorophore.

In order to estimate the dipole moment changes and thus the extent of charge rearrangement in the fluorophore associated with the measured Stokes shifts, we used the Lippert–Mataga equation57 (see SI). In order to use the Lippert–Mataga equation for the calculation of the dipole moment changes, several assumptions were made. In particular, only effects caused by the solvent polarity to the fluorophore were considered, whereas any excited state or charge interactions and any solvent specific effects were excluded, and thus the environment was treated as a dielectric continuum. Furthermore, we assumed that the Onsager cavity was spherical and thus similar to common fluorophores. It is worth noting first that the magnitudes of the Stokes shifts obtained here in polar solvents are quite large compared to those observed for aromatic residues such as tryptophan.57 Furthermore, our calculations suggest that the excitation involves several protein fibril chains (Figure 6b). We thus used the size between two adjacent chains (β-strands) in the protofibril as the reference value for the Onsager radius. Upon changing the size of the Onsager cavity from 2 to 4 or to 6 β-strands, respectively, which corresponds to a change in the cavity radius from 4 to 23 Å,11 we get Δμ values of 0.3 debye units (D), 1.05 D, and 3 D, respectively (see SI). Interestingly, all protein fibrils studied displayed similar values (see Figure S11).

Despite these assumptions, the sensitivity of the optical properties of fibrils to solvent polarity indicates that the structural fluorophore displays a large polarity. The results suggest that charge redistribution takes place in the excited state and that an Onsager cavity radius equivalent to more than 4 β-strands is required for Δμ values to be higher than 1 D. Similar values for Δμ were found in corresponding experiments performed with Aβ33–42 fibrils (see SI).

We have previously shown that amyloid proteins in their monomeric form do not display any fluorescence. However, since the Aβ1–42 peptide aggregates spontaneously, we used the monomeric form of another protein, K18Tαu, which remains monomeric while dissolved in the different solvents. This protein similarly forms β-sheet-rich fibrils but only upon exposure to heparin.58 Our data provide evidence that K18Tαu does not display any fluorescence in its monomeric, disordered form in all different solvents studied. On the other hand, the fluorescence properties and the analysis of the dipole moment change of its fibrillar form are similar to those of Aβ1–42 and Aβ33–42 fibrils (Figure S11b). This confirms that the 3D structure attained by the protein fibrils, including the formation of protofibrils which bring the N- and C-termini in close proximity, as well as their highly ordered network of H-bonds are indeed essential for the emergence of the fluorescence.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have combined state-of-the-art ab initio MD simulations and fluorescence spectroscopy in order to elucidate the origin of the anomalous fluorescence phenomena observed in H-bond-rich protein fibrils. The experimental results demonstrate that, unlike conventional fluorophores, fluorescence in these fibrils does not require the presence of aromatic residues or, more generally, conjugated π-electron systems. Our simulations for the electronic ground state show that protons situated along strong H-bonds involving N–C termini can be exceptionally labile. This leads to situations in which the proton can be stabilized on either the N- or the C-terminus, which ultimately results in the proton transfer coordinate potential having a double-well character (Figure 2c). Furthermore, due to the quantum mechanical nature of the proton, nuclear quantum fluctuations along the H-bonds lead to proton delocalization and hence to significant broadening of the proton transfer distributions (Figure 3a). These fundamental features that characterize the structure of amyloid protein fibrils have, to the best of our knowledge, not been reported before in the context of H-bonding in fibrils, and this is likely to have an impact on several physicochemical properties and the observed optical properties of these systems.

In Figure 10 we illustrate two conceptual scenarios on how the shape of different ground and excited state potentials can change the fate of potential fluorescence emission. In Figure 10a the ground and excited states are shown for a situation in which the ground state is a single-well potential and thus proton transfer incurs a huge activation barrier. As shown in several publications on heterocyclic molecules,20–22 a common deactivating path involving the proton transfer coordinate is based on the occurrence of a so-called conical intersection between the excited and the ground state along the same coordinate. Figure 10b illustrates the coupling between a double-well ground-state potential and the excited state. Comparing Figure 10a to 10b, we observe that since the electronic excitation energies are much larger than thermal energies associated with the proton fluctuations in the ground state, conical intersections are avoided and lead to situations in which the system is more likely to be trapped in the excited state leading to fluorescence. It should be mentioned that the scenarios depicted in Figure 10 and their potential implication to fluorescence solely depend on the stiffness of the proton potential in the ground state and are thus less sensitive to computational approximations such as the choice of the density functional. The possibility of finding a conical intersection
between the ground and excited state proton potential will be reduced if it has a double-well character and/or has become asymmetric due to nuclear quantum fluctuations.

It should also be stressed that the scenarios depicted in Figure 10 do not include features such as the reorganization of the solvent or of the structural environment in response to excitation. While these could certainly be included and would probably play a role during the relaxation process that precede emission, this does not change our qualitative conclusions. Future work is required using both theoretical and experimental approaches to understand the complex physical chemistry of these systems.

In summary, we have demonstrated using ground state ab initio MD simulations that specific H-bonds in protein fibrils are permissive to proton transfer, leading to situations in which the proton can be found on either the N- or the C-terminus and thus to the creation of a double well ground state potential that is likely to have a consequence on both the absorption and emission characteristics of the system. We have calculated absorption spectra explicitly and find that proton transfer lowers the excitation energy levels, possibly shifting them into the near UV or visible range, as observed experimentally. The presented ab initio simulations together with our experimental data reveal a significant effect of pH, isotope, and fibril structure on fibril absorption and/or fluorescence properties, and they suggest a significant role of proton transfer processes on spectral properties of fibrillar protein structures. The presence of a structure-specific fluorophore in these structures may have a wide range of implications in biological systems, such as for electrochemical functions and signal propagation. Since amyloid proteins, which form such fibrils, are at the heart of neurodegenerative and other aggregation-related diseases, this fluorescence phenomenon could be used as a basis for assays of protein aggregation, both in basic research, for example, as a label-free readout of protein amyloidogenesis, and for drug discovery, in the application of fluorescence based assays for the development of aggregation-inhibiting compounds. Finally, their photons and potential semiconductor properties may make such structures suitable for applications in biosensing and provide the basis for potential future technologies, for example, for a new class of bioinspired, self-assembled optical materials toward the development of biomolecular photonics devices.

**ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

* Supporting Information
The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b11012.

Additional details regarding: optimization at 0 K, MD at 300 K, excited-state calculations and orbitals, comparison between BLYP and CAM-B3LYP, 2 photon excitation and Lippert plots and analysis. Figures S1−S11 (PDF)

**AUTHOR INFORMATION**

*Corresponding Authors*
ahassana@ictp.it

*gsk20@cam.ac.uk

**Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Prof. M. Sauer for useful discussions and Prof. Klaus Schulten for invaluable scientific discussions. This work was funded by grants from the Medical Research Council U.K. (MR/K015850/1 and MR/K02292X/1), Alzheimer Research U.K. (ARUK-EG2012A-1), U.K. EPSRC (EP/H018301/1), and the Welcome Trust (089703/Z/09/Z). D.P. wishes to acknowledge support from the Swiss National Science Foundation and the Welcome Trust through personal fellowships. We thank LMB Visual Aids for graphics support.

**REFERENCES**

(2) Sharpe, S.; Simonetti, K.; Yau, J.; Walsh, P. Biomacromolecules 2011, 12, 1546−55.
(3) Tcherkasskaya, O. Protein Sci. 2007, 16, 561−71.